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Abstract

The effects of radiation on abundance of common birds in Fukushima can be assessed from the effects of radiation in Chernobyl. Abundance of birds was negatively related to radiation, with a significant difference between Fukushima and Chernobyl. Analysis of 14 species common to the two areas revealed a negative effect of radiation on abundance, differing between areas and species. The relationship between abundance and radiation was more strongly negative in Fukushima than in Chernobyl for the same 14 species, demonstrating a negative consequence of radiation for birds immediately after the accident on 11 March 2011 during the main breeding season in March–July, when individuals work close to their maximum sustainable level.

© 2012 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Animal abundances provide reliable early warning signals of the impact of environmental perturbations on biological systems (e.g. Voríšek et al., 2010). For example, a wide range of animals show reduced abundance in areas with high background radiation near Chernobyl (Møller and Mousseau, 2011a; Mousseau and Møller, 2011), allowing us to use the relationship between abundance and radiation in Chernobyl as a yardstick for assessing the magnitude of other nuclear accidents such as that at the Fukushima-Daiichi Nuclear Power Plant on 11 March 2011. The nuclear accidents at both Chernobyl and Fukushima contaminated large areas in the neighborhood of the reactors (Fig. 1), but also many neighboring countries. While the magnitude of the nuclear accidents has been assessed as seven on the of the International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA) scale, the biological magnitude of nuclear disasters has so far eluded assessment.

Previous studies of the effects of low-dose radiation on animals and plants have been extremely limited, and when it comes to extensive surveys that use standardized, widely approved census methods to relate abundance of free-living organisms to the level of background radiation, there are only a handful of such studies available (Krivolutsky and Pokarshhevsky, 1992; Maksimova, 2002; Møller and Mousseau, 2006, 2007; Galván et al., 2011). These studies consistently show negative relationships between abundance and radiation, even when statistically controlling for potentially confounding habitat factors and other variables. The results are repeatable among observers (Møller and Mousseau, 2011a), they are repeatable among areas (Ukraine vs. Belarus) (Møller and Mousseau, 2011a), and they are repeatable among years (Møller and Mousseau, 2011a), showing that similarly negative effects can be demonstrated using stringent methodology. The nuclear accident at Fukushima-Daiichi provides a novel opportunity to assess previously reported effects by increasing the level of replication, which is a hallmark of scientific enquiry. Obviously, Chernobyl and Fukushima differ in terms of environmental conditions, the amount and composition of radionuclides, prevailing weather and time since the nuclear accident. However, vast amounts of radioactivity remain in areas surrounding Chernobyl, due to the long half-lives of plutonium, cesium and strontium, making a comparison of the effects of a given level of radiation on abundance of any group of organisms possible and justifiable. Furthermore, the two disaster zones show similarities by both being heavily contaminated, both...
being composed of farmland and forests, and both being located in temperate climates in the same zoogeographic region. If there were similar negative relationships between abundance and a given level of background radiation in the vicinity of Chernobyl and Fukushima, we could conclude that the underlying factor responsible for these effects was most likely the same. Chernobyl and Fukushima are both located in the Palearctic zoogeographic region, implying that numerous taxa occur in both areas despite the areas being separated by a distance of more than 7000 km. We explicitly exploited that situation by investigating the response of the same set of species in Chernobyl and Fukushima to low-dose radiation.

Here we assessed the predictions that (i) the effects of radiation on abundance of birds would be negative; (ii) the effect would be stronger in the area more recently exposed to radiation; and that (iii) species would show species-specific responses that differed between areas. We tested these predictions by conducting extensive breeding bird censuses in Chernobyl during four years 2006–2009 and in Fukushima in 2011, using exactly the same methods, procedures and individuals to conduct the censuses. In addition, we compare the response of the same 14 species that co-occur in both areas to radiation, allowing for stringent tests that would be independent of differences in ecology and evolutionary history.

2. Material and methods

Breeding bird censuses were conducted within the Chernobyl Exclusion Zone or adjacent areas on the southern and western borders with a permit from the Ukrainian authorities and in areas in southern Belarus around Gomel during the breeding seasons 2006–2009 (Fig. 1A). We conducted similar censuses at a total of 300 sampling points in forested areas west of the exclusion zone around the Fukushima Daiichi power plants in 2011 (Fig. 1B). Information on census methods, confounding variables, repeatability of density estimates, radiation measurements and statistical analyses are reported in Electronic Supplementary Material 1.

3. Results and discussion

Radioactive contamination can negatively affect the abundance of living beings through the toxic effects of radionuclides or through effects related to the accumulation of mutations (Møller and Mousseau, 2006). We related bird abundance to background radiation level after controlling statistically for potentially confounding effects of time of day, weather and habitat on abundance of birds (Møller and Mousseau, 2011a; Electronic Supplementary Material 2). Bird abundances showed a significant decline with increasing background radiation both in Chernobyl and Fukushima (F1,1194 = 66.49, P < 0.0001, slope (SE) = −0.092 (0.011)), with no significant effect of study area (Chernobyl or Fukushima: F1,1194 = 0.43, P = 0.51) or difference in effect of radiation between areas (interaction between radiation and area: F1,1194 = 1.45, P = 0.23). This implies that for the same level of radiation we found a similar decline in abundance with increasing level of radiation. This result implies that differences between the two disaster zones are much less important than the similarities, most likely caused by radioactive contamination.

Most species had reduced population density at higher levels of background radiation (Fig. 2), after controlling for potentially confounding variables (Møller and Mousseau, 2011a; Electronic Supplementary Material 2). The slope describing the relationship between abundance and radiation was on average strongly negative both in Chernobyl (−0.063 (SE = 0.007), N = 80 species, one-sample t-test, t89 = −8.69, P < 0.0001) and in Fukushima (−0.040 (SE = 0.008), N = 45 species, one-sample t-test, t44 = −8.11, P < 0.0001). Thus, the effect of radiation on abundance was stronger in species breeding in Chernobyl than in Fukushima (F1,123 = 3.80, r² = 0.03, P = 0.040).

We used extensive and unique data obtained from large-scale censuses of breeding birds in the vicinity of Chernobyl in Ukraine and Belarus and in the vicinity of Fukushima in Japan to test for an effect of radiation on abundance. There was extensive evidence consistent with the hypothesis that low-dose radiation has significant negative consequences for birds because most species had suppressed populations in more contaminated areas (Fig. 2). A direct comparison of these negative effects in the two disaster zones revealed for 80 species in Chernobyl a more strongly negative effect of radiation on abundance than for the 45 species recorded in Fukushima. These effects were independent of habitat, weather and time of day when the censuses were conducted, making it unlikely that any uncontrolled, additional variables could be the underlying cause for the negative relationships. Our previous studies in the
having eliminated individuals with accumulated mutations in abundance of exactly the same bird species in Fukushima than in Chernobyl to a greater extent than in Fukushima; (2) the composition of radionuclides being more dangerous for birds in Fukushima than in Chernobyl (perhaps an unlikely explanation); or (3) the higher density in Fukushima than in Chernobyl increasing the intensity of intraspecific competition and therefore the negative effects of radiation. The relationship between abundance and radiation also differed significantly among species, as shown by the interaction between radiation and species (Table 1). The difference in abundance between Chernobyl and Fukushima differed among species, as shown by the significant area by species interaction (Table 1). Finally, the different effect of radiation on species was not the same in the two areas, as shown by the interaction between radiation, area and species (Table 1).

Although Chernobyl and Fukushima are located more than 7000 km apart, they still share a large number of species due to the fact that the two sites are both located in the Paleartic zoogeographic region. We identified 14 species of birds that were common to the two sites, allowing us to conduct a particularly stringent test of the hypothesis that radiation would differ negative impact on abundance even when comparing populations of the same species in the two areas. Detailed analyses of species common to the two disaster zones revealed a more strongly negative relationship between abundance and radiation at Fukushima than at Chernobyl, demonstrating an immediate negative consequence of radiation for birds during the main breeding season March–July 2011, when individual birds are known to work close to their maximum sustainable level (Drent and Daan, 1980). Previous research at Chernobyl has shown that bird species with high metabolic rates and hence high rate of use of antioxidants suffer disproportionately from the negative effects of radiation on the production of free radicals (Galván et al., 2011; Bonisoli-Alquati et al., 2010b). A reduction in the level of free radicals will have consequences for damage to DNA (Bonisoli-Alquati et al., 2010a), the ability to reproduce and survive (Møller et al., 2005), and normal development of neurological tissues (e.g. brains) (Almond et al., 2007; Møller et al., 2011).

In conclusion, the data presented here constitute the first investigation of initial biological community responses to the radioactive fallout from the Fukushima disaster. The parallels and contrasts with prior results from Chernobyl suggest that organismal responses to radionuclides can be immediate and large. The prolonged, relatively larger impacts on Chernobyl communities point to possible sustained, longer term impacts on organisms that may reflect cumulative, multigenerational consequences of mutation accumulation within populations, as suggested by Møller and Mousseau (2011a,b). The disaster at Chernobyl, and now Fukushima, provide unique opportunities to assess the risks and hazards of prolonged exposure to mutagenic contaminants that likely have relevance for other communities inhabiting the regions affected by these disasters.
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**Fig. 2.** Frequency distribution of the number of bird species with different slopes of the relationship between abundance and background radiation level, after controlling statistically for the potentially confounding effects of habitat variables, weather, and time of day. See Materials and methods for further details. Note that there are many more negative slopes than would be expected by chance alone, implying that most species have declines in abundance in more heavily contaminated areas.

**Table 1**

Abundance of the 14 species of birds in Chernobyl and Fukushima in relation to radiation level at 1198 census points (898 in Chernobyl and 300 in Fukushima). The overall model had the statistics $F_{55,5678} = 47.60, r^2 = 0.14, P < 0.0001$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source of Variation</th>
<th>Sum of squares</th>
<th>d.f.</th>
<th>$F$</th>
<th>$P$</th>
<th>Estimate (SE)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Radiation (R)</td>
<td>1.019</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>136.81</td>
<td>$&lt;0.0001$</td>
<td>-0.011 (0.0044)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area (A)</td>
<td>0.412</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>55.29</td>
<td>$&lt;0.0001$</td>
<td>0.013 (0.0011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Species (S)</td>
<td>7.102</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>73.36</td>
<td>$&lt;0.0001$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R \times A$</td>
<td>0.240</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>32.28</td>
<td>$&lt;0.0001$</td>
<td>-0.008 (0.0014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R \times S$</td>
<td>1.354</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>11.99</td>
<td>$&lt;0.0001$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A \times S$</td>
<td>6.846</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>70.72</td>
<td>$&lt;0.0001$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R \times A \times S$</td>
<td>1.210</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>12.50</td>
<td>$&lt;0.0001$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Error</td>
<td>124.47</td>
<td>16,716</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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